Operations Optimisation at Wellington Water Innovation that lead to World-Wide Change

Presented by: Simon Bunn CTO SUEZ Smart Solutions

19 September 2018



ready for the resource revolution



The Wellington Water Energy Optimisation Project

Introduction
 Why is energy the target
 Growth in the years subsequent to Wellington
 Application Overview
 Summary





Wellington Water – Energy Management RFP

- In 1999 Wellington Water (Greater Wellington Regional Council at the time) went to market for a "Systems Control Project" primarily to minimise OPEX mainly energy cost
- Main project driver was Murray Kennedy, General Manager
- An International bid project in Tenderers Gazette, with two international bidders plus Beca
- Beca awarded based on previous successful project for New Plymouth water distribution network control
- This was the genesis for Beca to create a commercial software tool, 'Derceto' which went on to become Derceto Aquadapt, and now called Suez Aquadvanced Energy after Suez bought Derceto in 2015

Derceto 3.0 delivered in June 2000



Initially controlled from Wainuiomata to the City, 3 treatment plants plus 12 reservoirs and 10 pumping stations.

In 2006 extended to all Greater Wellington Water treatment works and reservoirs including Te Marua network

Now controls 4 WTPs, 17 pump stations, 57 tanks, 41 fully controllable FCVs

Upgraded to Aquadvanced Energy (HTML5 based) in 2016

Wellington Water Limited, New Zealand

Primary driver was operational control of a large number of valves, tanks and WTPs
 210 MLD from two well fields plus two surface water plants

Real-time spot energy pricing

"The SUEZ Aquadvanced system has never been turned off and has operated faultlessly for ten years – delivering annual energy cost savings of more than 10 percent, and a project payback inside three years." Murray Kennedy, General Manager Greater Wellington Water.



The Wellington Water Energy Optimisation Project

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Why is energy the target?
- 3. Growth in the years subsequent to Wellington
- 4. Application Overview
- 5. Summary



11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11

1111111111111111

Energy is typically the second highest OPEX behind labour

Energy used by pumps is the dominant cost in a drinking water system



Techniques to optimise the distribution network



Choosing the best pump combinations



Combining Pumps

- 1 pump: 25.2MLD @ 15.2m, efficiency ~60%
- 2 pumps: 41.6MLD @ 27.5m, efficiency ~85%
- So what would you use?
- 1 pump used 59kWh/ML
- 2 pumps used 88kWh/ML,

50% more energy used by 2 pumps!

Automated Operations Benefits

Lower energy costs: using the energy when it is cheaper to do so or by **SAVINGS** Up to 20% \$/ML making use of self-production capacity when it is worth it. Aquadvanced can handle any kind of tariff and reacts to any change in real-time. Lower energy consumption: using less energy as a result of a more efficient system operation. These are savings that are achievable even when an SAVINGS 6 to 8% kWh/ML energy tariff is flat and this can represent 50% of the usual savings that can be generated. Our customers have been able to obtain a consistent 6 to 8% efficiency savings this way. Lower production costs: when multiple production sources are **SAVINGS** Up to 5% \$/ML available, Aquadvanced will operate those that represent lower running costs. RESILIENCE This may depend on the chemical costs, energy costs or external constraints as well as abstraction license limitations. **GHG reduction:** producing less water and using less energy will directly 6 to 8% CO2/M ENVIRONM. lead to a 6 to 10% reduction of emissions.

Average cost savings 12% to 15% overall

Automated Operations Benefits

Event Management/Dynamic adaptation: as a result of the holistic view and the profound understanding of the system behaviour Aquadvanced will react to any event to minimise the impact on the supply service. Should a burst occur, Aquadvanced will reconfigure the system to keep the service up through alternative distribution paths.

Water quality management: Aquadvanced will reduce the water aging in the system, handle source blending and source smoothing to keep the quality parameters within the desired limits.

Leakage reduction: operating the system within the right pressure to guarantee the supply service quality will lead to a harmonisation of the pressure throughout the network and, therefore, reduce the volume of non-revenue water. Improvements of up to 10% have been reported.

Operational Consistency: overcome the inconsistent adoption of standard operating procedures by individual operators.

RESILIENCE

RESILIENCE





The Wellington Water Energy Optimisation Project

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Why is energy the target?
- 3. Growth in the years subsequent to Wellington
- 4. Application Overview
- 5. Summary



11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11

1111111111111111

AQUADVANCED[™] Energy in operation Implemented worldwide for water utilities supplying more than 15 million end customers



AQUADVANCED[™] Energy in operation Major growth in European market



Northumbrian Water Group

○ 3 Regions - Northumbria, Essex and Suffolk

○ 57 Water Treatment Works

○ 338 Service Reservoirs, £20M annual energy bill

" I think the energy cost savings from Aquadvanced tend to be highlighted because cost savings are a way of convincing the finance guys. But the benefits go way beyond energy cost savings." Mick Baker, Network Control Manager, Northumbrian Water PLC.

Northumbrian Water Group

Targeting energy cost savings as well as operational efficiencies and water quality improvements
 Driven primarily by the need to control, manage and predict energy costs
 Used to negotiate more favorable three-year energy supply contracts

"We certainly found no other product that would do what Aquadvanced can do. Some vendors said they could develop a solution, but we saw SUEZ's Aquadvanced as a proven and working solution, already doing what we want to do at other water utilities in North America, New Zealand and Australia." Northumbrian Water Network Manager Dennis Dellow.



WaterOne, USA

85 pumps, 18 tanks, 11 valves 2 treatment plants
556 MLD peak day, 246 MLD average
US\$5.5M annual energy costs



Consorci D'Aigues de Tarragona, Spain

• Complex control of more than 60 valves and tanks



CONSORCI D'AIGÜES DE TARRAGONA

"Really, Aquadvanced is delivering on its promise. I am an automation engineer and I was really surprised and impressed that they could make it work so well. It had to work... and it does. It really works."

Andreu Fargas-Marquès, CAT's maintenance chief and energy manager

Unitywater, Queensland Australia

- O Main driver was operations optimisation, balancing flows into inlet valve controlled storage tanks to improve tank turn-over, safe storage levels and pressure management
- O Smoothing out-flows from treatment plants was a primary goal
- O Energy costs were already low and automation for consistent operation was important



Water Utility Name, Location, System	Installation Completed	Total Utility Population (000)	Energy Cost Savings	Annual Savings (USD 000)	Efficiency Gains	GHG Reduction Tonne	Total Production Capacity	Production Capacity (MLD)	Asset Count	SCADA System
GWRC New Zealand, Stage 1	Apr-00	400	10%	N/A	N/A	N/A	244 MLD	244	146	Citect
GWRC New Zealand, Stage 2	Aug-08									
EBMUD CA-USA, Stage 1	Aug-04	1,300	12%	360	6%	800	375 MGD	1,425	100	Telvent, OASyS
Unitywater QLD-Australia, Maroochydore	Apr-05	765	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			44	Serck, ClearSCADA
Unitywater QLD-Australia, Caloundra	Apr-11									
Unitywater QLD-Australia, Moreton Bay	Jun-15									
WaterOne KS-USA, Full system	May-06	400	20%	1,100	6%	4,800	165 MGD	627	116	CSI, UCOS
WSSC MD-USA, Full System	May-06	1,800	11%	870	8%	4,500	283 MGD	1,075	166	Wonderware, InTouch
EMWD CA-USA, Stage 1	Aug-06	700	10%	120	8%	300	275 MGD	1,045	296	Telvent, OASyS
EMWD CA-USA, Stage 2/3	Sep-07		15%	190		240				
EMWD CA-USA, Stage 4	Feb-11		N/A	90		N/A				
LinkWater QLD-Australia, SWRP	Apr-09	2,900	20%	400	N/A	NI/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		60	Serck, ClearSCADA
LinkWater QLD-Australia, NPI	Jul-09			400		IN/A				
Gwinnett GA –USA, Full System	Dec-09	800	10%	490	6%	2,300	225 MGD	855	74	Transdyn, DYNAC
Peel Region ON-Canada, Full System	Feb-13	1,300	10%	1,600	6%	5,600		1,105	152	Wonderware, InTouch
K Water South Korea, Cheongju	Aug-11	900	4%	250	N/A	N/A	800 MLD	800	56	GE, iFix
NWL England, Essex	Mar-12	4,500	15% TBD	596	8%	1460 TBD	1262 MLD	1,262	527	Serck, SCX
NWL England, Teesside	Aug-11			TBD	TBD					
NWL England, Central	Nov-11			TBD						
NWL England, Tyneside	Jun-12			TBD						
NWL England, Suffolk (waiting on SCADA)	TBA			N/A						
EPWU TX-USA, Stage 1	Dec-12	780	10%	620	6%	1,700	160 MGD	608	318	Rockwell, RSView
EPWU TX-USA, Stage 2	Nov-14									
Consorci d'Aiguies de Tarragona, Spain	Oct-14	660	21%	885	TBD	TBD	302 MLD	363	228	Citect
Suez Water Idaho (SWID), Boise ID, USA	Oct-16	230	9%	200	3%	810	90 MGD	342	257	GE, iFix
Sud ile de France (SIF), Paris, France	Nov-16	1,300	9%	378	TBD	TBD	450 MLD	280	236	ТорКарі
Suez Water New Jersey (SWNJ), NJ, USA	May-18	800	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	206 MGD	780	120	GE, iFix
Paris Seine Ouest (PSO), Paris, France										ТорКарі

The Wellington Water Energy Optimisation Project

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Customer Benefits and References
- 3. Software Views
- 4. Application Overview
- 5. Summary



1111111111111111





²⁵¹ AQUADVANCED[™] Energy



²⁶¹ AQUADVANCED[™] Energy

The Wellington Water Energy Optimisation Project

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Customer Benefits and References
- 3. Software Views
- 4. Application Overview
- 5. Summary



1111111111111111

Wellington Water led the way



- Still the only commercial fully automated optimiser in the world
- Savings achieved have been consistent world-wide, showing that operations are similar
- New challenges arise e.g.
- Real-time pricing,
- pressure systems with no storage,
- Demand Response programs
- Wellington Water have been at the forefront of most of these initiatives

Thank You

_ _ _ _ _ _





1111111111111111

11/1/1/1