



Estimating uncertainty in flood model outputs using machine learning informed by Monte Carlo analysis

Presented by Martin Nguyen | PhD student at UC

Prof. Matthew Wilson, Dr. Emily Lane, Prof. James Brasington, Dr. Rose Pearson

















Waikanae River, Wellington training dataset



Buller River, Westport testing dataset













Methodology – uncertainty







Methodology – machine learning model



References: Blundell, C., Cornebise, J., Kavukcuoglu, K., & Wierstra, D. (2015, June). Weight uncertainty in neural network. In International conference on machine learning (pp. 1613-1622). PMLR Shridhar, K., Laumann, F., & Liwicki, M. (2019). A comprehensive guide to bayesian convolutional neural network with variational inference. arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.02731.





Methodology – machine learning models







Methodology – inputs – Waikanae River examples



















Results



All values Excluding 0% and 100% RMSE 5.694 19.614





Results

Correlation excluding values 0% and 100%

Pearson's correlation: 0.708



- Uncertainties were *well detected* (despite including some instances of 'flood' and 'no flood')
- Their values were *moderately predicted*

No flood

1085274 99.05%



Converting predicted proportions to label

10330

0.94%

LABEL based on BNNBB result

	Νο	Maybe	Flooded
Precision	0.999	0.318	0.992
Recall	0.991	0.713	0.971





41 0.00%









Thank you! Questions? Patai?

